appropriation of funds under MPLADS in the State of Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Tamil Nadu have been received. Whenever such a complaint is received, a report is called for from the concerned authorities of the State Government and necessary corrective actions taken.

[English]

Monitoring of Development Scheme

2368. SHRI G. VENKAT SWAMY : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government have decided to involve political representatives in the implementation and monitoring of different Urban and Rural Development Schemes;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the time by which it is likely to be implemented; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRIMATI RATNAMALA D. SAVANOOR): (a) to (c) The Constitution Seventy-third Amendment Act 1992 on the Panchayats and the Constitution Seventy-Fourth Amendment Act 1992 on Municipalities provide that the legislature of a State may, by law, endow the Panchayats/Municipalities with such powers and authority as may be necessary to enable them to function as institutions of self-government and such law may contain provisions for the devolution of powers and responsibilities upon Panchayats/Municipalities at the appropriate level with respect to the preparation of plans for economic development and social justice and the implementation of schemes for economic development and social justice as may be entrusted to them including those in relation to the matters listed in the Eleventh Schedule of the Constitution Seventy Third Amendment Act 1992 and the Twelfth Schedule of the Constitution Seventy Fourth Amendment Act 1992 respectively. The legislature of a state may, by law, provide for the representation of the members of the House of the People, the members of the legislative Assembly of the State, the members of the Council of States and the members of Legislative Council of the State in the composition of Panchayats/Municipalities.

Review of 20 - Point Programme

2369. DR. KRUPASINDHU BHOI : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have made any review on the implementation of 20 - Point Programme during Eighth Plan; and

(b) if so, the progress made on various schemes under 20 - Point Economic Programme during the said period, state-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRIMATI RATNAMALA D. SAVANOOR): (a) and (b) Monitoring the progress of implementation of 20-Point Programme-86 is an on-going exercise. The ranking of the States, based on the performance of select monthly monitored items, is given in the attached Statement. The details regarding the progress made in the implementation of the 20-Point Programme-86 during the Eighth Plan period with reference to the items that are monitored on a monthly basis are available in the Annual Progress Reports of 20-Point Programme-86 for the period 1992-93 to 1996-97. These published documents are made available regularly to the Parliament Library.

Statement

Ranking of States on the Performance of TPP'86 For 1992 - 93 To 1996 - 97

S. No.	States/UTs	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96	96-97
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Andhra Pr.	1	6	8	4	7
2.	Arunachal Pr.	18	23	15	16	9
3.	Assam	22	22	20	23	25
4.	Bihar	14	19	21	21	26
5.	Delhi*	–	–	–	20	24
6.	Goa	16	18	18	24	21
7.	Gujarat	3	2	5	8	1
8.	Haryana	11	16	15	17	20
9.	Himachal Pr.	13	11	9	11	1
10.	J & K	25	25	23	14	19
11.	Karnataka	8	8	10	17	7
12.	Kerala	20	2	7	13	14
13.	M.P.	9	4	5	10	9
14.	Maharashtra	16	10	3	7	14
15.	Manipur	23	21	22	25	23
16.	Meghalaya	21	20	24	26	16
17.	Mizoram	9	13	12	12	16
18.	Nagaland	24	24	25	27	27
19.	Orissa	12	8	11	4	11